

Fractional Klein-Kramers equation for superdiffusive transport: normal versus anomalous time evolution in a differential Lévy walk model.

Ralf Metzler¹ and Igor M. Sokolov²

¹*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
77 Massachusetts Avenue, Room 12-109, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

²*Theoretische Polymerphysik, Universität Freiburg,
Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, 79104 Freiburg i.Br., Germany*

(Dated: 1st February 2008)

We introduce a fractional Klein-Kramers equation which describes sub-ballistic superdiffusion in phase space in the presence of a space-dependent external force field. This equation defines the differential Lévy walk model whose solution is shown to be non-negative. In the velocity coordinate, the probability density relaxes in Mittag-Leffler fashion towards the Maxwell distribution whereas in the space coordinate, no stationary solution exists and the temporal evolution of moments exhibits a competition between Brownian and anomalous contributions.

PACS numbers: 05.40.Fb, 05.60.Cd, 02.50.Ey

Classically, Brownian stochastic transport processes in the phase space spanned by velocity v and coordinate x are described by the deterministic Klein-Kramers equation (KKE). In the low and high friction limits, the KKE reduces to the Rayleigh equation which describes the relaxation of the velocity probability density function (pdf) towards the Maxwell distribution, and the Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation controlling the temporal approach of the Gibbs-Boltzmann equilibrium, respectively [1, 2]. The KKE is therefore a fundamental equation in non-equilibrium systems dynamics.

Brownian transport is characterised through the Gaussian pdf and the linear time dependence $\langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2Kt$ of the mean squared displacement in the force-free diffusion limit, its universality being guaranteed by the central limit theorem [2]. In a broad variety of systems, however, it has been found that correlations in space or time give birth to *anomalous* transport whose pdf is non-Gaussian and/or whose mean squared displacement is non-linear in time [3]. These systems include charge carrier transport in amorphous semiconductors [4], tracer dispersion in convection rolls and rotating flows [5, 6], capillary surface waves [7], the motion of bacteria and the flight of an albatross [8], intracellular transport [9], transport in micelles [10], 2-D dusty plasmas [11], the dynamics in (bio)polymeric systems [12], and the NMR diffusometry in porous glasses and percolation clusters [13], among

others.

The systems we are interested in fall into the broad class whose *force-free* diffusion behaviour is characterised through the power-law form $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \propto t^\kappa$, which separates into subdiffusion ($0 < \kappa < 1$) and superdiffusion ($\kappa > 1$). The continuous time random walk (CTRW) model has proved to be a well-suited framework which accounts for such anomalous diffusion for the entire spectrum of κ [14]. Especially in the sub-ballistic superdiffusive domain $1 < \kappa < 2$, Lévy walks which couple long flight times with a time cost have been a successful tool, e.g., in fluid dynamics [6, 15]. The space-time coupling of Lévy walks leads to finite moments and they are therefore fundamentally different from Lévy flights which exhibit a diverging variance [16].

In the presence of external force fields, the CTRW approach is less flexible. It has been realised that fractional equations constitute a tailor-made framework to formulate the underlying dynamics equations in coordinate and phase space; see, for instance, [16, 17, 18, 19, 20] and references therein. In the subdiffusive domain $0 < \kappa < 1$, a fractional KKE was derived from CTRW models [21] and from the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation [22]. A similar consistent generalisation to systems in the regime $1 < \kappa < 2$ is still outstanding. In this note, we propose the fractional KKE

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} v - {}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} \varrho_\alpha \left[-\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial v} v + \frac{F(x)}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \kappa \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right] \right) P(x, v, t), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \quad (1)$$

for the description of sub-ballistic superdiffusive anomalous transport. In Eq. (1), $P(x, v, t) dx dv$ is the joint

probability to find the test particle of mass m with coordinate $x, \dots, x + dx$ and velocity $v, \dots, v + dv$ at time

t . γ denotes the friction constant which quantifies the effective dissipative interaction with the environment, κ is the velocity diffusion constant and $F(x) = -d\Phi(x)/dx$ is an external force field. The factor ϱ_α has dimension $[\varrho_\alpha] = \text{sec}^{-\alpha}$ and is a function of some time scale τ characteristic for the waiting time process and of an interaction time scale τ^* [22], see also below. In the limit $\alpha = 1$, Eq. (1) corresponds to the standard KKE whereas for $0 < \alpha < 1$, the process contains a scale-free memory of the power-law type entering through the fractional Riemann-Liouville operator ${}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} \equiv \partial/\partial t ({}_0D_t^{-\alpha})$ with [23]

$${}_0D_t^{-\alpha} W(v, t) \equiv \int_0^t dt' W(v, t') (t - t')^{\alpha-1} / \Gamma(\alpha). \quad (2)$$

The operator ${}_0D_t^{-\alpha}$ possesses the important property $\int_0^\infty e^{-ut} {}_0D_t^{-\alpha} f(t) dt = u^{-\alpha} f(u)$ under Laplace transformation.

With respect to its coordinate x , Eq. (1) is a Liouville-type equation which gives rise to the relation $\frac{d}{dt} \langle x(t) \rangle = \langle v(t) \rangle$ between the velocity- and coordinate-averaged random variables x and v ; by this, the KKE (1) differs from the subdiffusive model in Ref. [22]. The action of the friction, force and velocity diffusion in Eq. (1) enters through the non-local memory relation brought about by the fractional operator; i.e., the local fluctuations of velocity which follow from a Fokker-Planck-like equation in the Brownian case are now described by a fractional Fokker-Planck equation. The connection to the space coordinate is assumed to be given through the classical drift term $-\partial v P / \partial x$. From these basic physical assumptions, the fractional KKE (1) emerges.

Let us explore the behaviour predicted by Eq. (1) in more detail. By integration over the spatial coordinate x , the fractional equation for the velocity pdf $P(v, t)$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = {}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} \varrho_\alpha \left(\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial v} v - \frac{F(x)}{\gamma m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \kappa \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) P(v, t) \quad (3)$$

obtains which reduces to its Brownian counterpart in the limit $\alpha = 1$.

In the force-free limit, (3) corresponds to the fractional Rayleigh equation discussed in Refs. [22, 24]. The relaxation of velocity moments can be inferred directly from Eq. (3) in the force-free case. Accordingly, the fractional relaxation equation [16, 25]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle v(t) \rangle = -\gamma_\alpha {}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} \langle v(t) \rangle \quad (4)$$

emerges where $\gamma_\alpha \equiv \varrho_\alpha \gamma$. For $v_0 = v(0)$, its solution

$$\langle v(t) \rangle = v_0 E_\alpha(-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha) \quad (5)$$

features the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha)^n / \Gamma(1 + \alpha n)$ which is monotonically decaying and which interpolates between the initial stretched exponential behaviour $E_\alpha(-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha) \sim$

$\exp(-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha / \Gamma(1 + \alpha))$ and the final inverse power-law pattern $E_\alpha(-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha) \sim (\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha))^{-1}$ [26]. Thus, the mean relaxation time diverges. The second moment

$$\langle v^2(t) \rangle = \kappa / \gamma + (v_0^2 - \kappa / \gamma) E_\alpha(-2\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha) \quad (6)$$

equilibrates Mittag-Leffler fashion towards the equilibrium value κ / γ , and the pdf $P(v, t)$ relaxes towards a Gaussian. In thermodynamical systems, by comparison, the velocity pdf follows the Maxwell distribution $P(v) = (2\pi k_B T / m)^{-1/2} \exp(-mv^2 / [2k_B T])$, so that we find the Einstein relation $\kappa = k_B T \gamma / m$ [16, 17]. The velocity-velocity correlation function associated with Eq. (3) is

$$\langle v(0)v(t) \rangle = v_0^2 E_\alpha(-\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha) \sim v_0^2 (\gamma_\alpha t^\alpha)^{-1} \quad (7)$$

whose long time behaviour is equivalent to the CTRW-Lévy walk result where the $t^{-\alpha}$ -scaling follows from the behaviour of the cumulative waiting time distribution.

This corresponds to the physical model underlying Eq. (1): in the velocity space, we assume that the particle undergoes collisions, i.e., random velocity changes, such that successive collisions are separated by time spans governed through the long-tailed waiting time pdf $\psi(t) \sim A_\alpha(t/\tau)^{-1-\alpha}$ whose characteristic time $\mathfrak{T} = \int_0^\infty t \psi(t) dt$ diverges. In this case, the velocity-velocity correlation function is proportional to the probability that no scattering took place before time t , and thus $\langle v(0)v(t) \rangle = v_0^2 \int_t^\infty \psi(t) dt \propto v_0^2 (t/\tau)^{-\alpha}$. In addition, we assume that the external force field $F(x)$ constantly acts on the test particle during its sojourns.

The characteristic behaviour of this model is that it includes arbitrarily long sojourns but leads to finite moments of any order and an exponentially decaying pdf P . We call the process associated with the fractional KKE (1) a differential Lévy walk model noting that it is an approximation to CTRW-Lévy walks which offers the distinct possibility to study the effects of an external force field on the behaviour of lower order moments of a Lévy walk.

The velocity average of the fractional KKE (1) can be performed by integration over $\int dv$ and $\int v dv$, and combination of the two resulting equations. With $\langle v^2 \rangle = k_B T / m$, this procedure yields the fractional telegrapher's type equation

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} + {}_0D_t^{2-\alpha} P = \left(-{}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{F(x)}{\gamma m} + K \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) P(x, t) \quad (8)$$

which exhibits a transition from a short-time ballistic behaviour with $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \sim (K\gamma)t^2$ in the force-free case, to the long-time or high-friction limit governed through the fractional Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{F(x)}{\gamma m} + {}_0D_t^{\alpha-1} K \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) P(x, t). \quad (9)$$

Its force-free limit exactly corresponds to the enhanced diffusion approach reported in Ref. [27] which exhibits a distinct bimodal behaviour characterised through two separating humps. From Eq. (9) one observes the difference to the fractional Fokker-Planck equation for subdiffusive processes from Ref. [20]: here, the force is temporally local with $\partial P/\partial t$. In Eq. (9), we identify $K \equiv k_B T/(m\gamma_\alpha)$; note that this does not represent a real Einstein relation as Eq. (9) does not have a stationary solution, see below. The mean squared displacement corresponding to Eq. (9) with $F(x) = 0$ is given through

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2Kt^{2-\alpha}/\Gamma(3-\alpha) \quad (10)$$

which describes sub-ballistic superdiffusion. This result is equivalent to the fractional Kramers model discussed in Ref. [24], and to the CTRW-Lévy walk [14]. It is in the presence of an external force that the fractional KKE (1) exhibits a fundamentally different behaviour.

To see this, consider the fractional Fokker-Planck equation (9) for non-trivial types of the external force $F(x)$. Accordingly, the first moment is given by $\frac{d}{dt}\langle x(t) \rangle = \langle F(x) \rangle/(m\gamma)$ which can be solved for constant or linear forces. In particular, for the constant drift $F(x) = Vm\gamma$, the first moment becomes

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = Vt \quad (11)$$

which corresponds to the traditional drift behaviour [2]. The second moment

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = V^2t^2 + 2Kt^{2-\alpha}/\Gamma(3-\alpha) \quad (12)$$

combines this drift with the sub-ballistic behaviour $\propto t^{2-\alpha}$ such that the variance $\langle \Delta x(t)^2 \rangle \equiv (\langle x^2(t) \rangle - \langle x(t) \rangle^2)$ is given by Eq. (10). This behaviour is analogous to the Galilei invariant diffusion-advection model derived in Ref. [28] for the subdiffusive case. In our case, the analytical solution is given by the free (superdiffusive) solution explored in detail in Ref. [27], taken at the translated coordinate $x - Vt$. Here, the two-hump solution travels with velocity V and is symmetric to the point $X(t) = Vt$. It should be noted that due to the behaviour of the first and second moments, a connection of the form $\langle x(t) \rangle_V \propto V\langle x^2(t) \rangle_0$ (see, e.g., Ref. [16, 17]) between the first moment in the presence of the constant drift V to the second moment in absence of this drift, does not exist, in contrast to the subdiffusive case [20].

Similarly, for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck potential $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2$ which exerts the linear restoring force $F(x) = m\omega^2x$, the first moment shows the exponential relaxation

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = x_0 e^{-\omega^2 t/\gamma} \quad (13)$$

which contrasts the Mittag-Leffler patterns recovered in the subdiffusive model in Refs. [22] as well as the superdiffusive fractional KKE presented in Ref. [24]. The

second moment has the Laplace transform

$$\langle x^2(u) \rangle = \frac{x_0^2 + 2Ku^{\alpha-2}}{u + 2\omega^2/\gamma} \quad (14)$$

whose inversion leads to

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = x_0^2 e^{-2\omega^2 t/\gamma} + \frac{2Kt^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} {}_1F_1\left(1; 3-\alpha; -\frac{2\omega^2}{\gamma}t\right) \quad (15)$$

which combines the exponential relaxation of the initial condition, which was already found for the first moment, with the confluent hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1$. Note that the second term in Eq. (15) is equal to the expression $2Kt^{2-\alpha}E_{1,3-\alpha}(-2\omega^2 t/\gamma) = 2Kt^{2-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-2\omega^2 t/\gamma)^n / \Gamma(3-\alpha+n)$ in which we used the generalised Mittag-Leffler function $E_{1,3-\alpha}$ [26]. The variance is consequently given in terms of

$$\Delta x(t)^2 = 2Kt^{2-\alpha}E_{1,3-\alpha}(-2\omega^2 t/\gamma) \quad (16)$$

which interpolates between the freely diffusive behaviour (10) for short times, and the long time power-law pattern

$$\Delta x(t)^2 \sim \frac{K\gamma}{\omega^2} \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}. \quad (17)$$

Accordingly, the mean squared displacement $\Delta x(t)^2$ increases in the course of time even for long times. It is straightforward to show in general that the solution of the fractional Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation (9) does not have a stationary solution. This is exactly what is expected from a Lévy walk whose main characteristic is the continuous approximation to a Lévy stable distribution [14].

Let us now address the problem of negativity [29] which was encountered for the fractional KKE proposed in Ref. [24] in the overdamped limit. Firstly, it is possible to show that for all constant or linear forces, moments of any order are positive. This is due to the fact that our model equation (1) leads to (generalised) Mittag-Leffler-type relaxation patterns which are known to be strictly monotonically decreasing and which are everywhere positive [26]. In contrast, the model from Ref. [24] leads to Mittag-Leffler indices between 1 and 2 and therefore to temporal oscillations which in turn give rise to negative portions in the “pdf” for shorter times [29]. The positivity of the solution of our fractional KKE (1) can be demonstrated also for an arbitrary external force field. We know that the velocity pdf $P(v, t)$ is positive for all times [16, 24], and that the position-space pdf $P(x, t)$ is positive in the force-free case [27]. As the force enters through the local drift term, also the pdf in the presence of an arbitrary external force $F(x)$ is positive, and consequently the joint pdf $P(x, v, t)$ is positive.

Formally, the fractional KKE (1) corresponds to the “Lévy rambling” model developed in Ref. [22] but with the force entering symmetrically to friction and diffusion

(i.e., $\langle v \rangle = -\eta v \tau^* + F(x) \tau^*/m$ in Eq. (62) of Ref. [22]). Thus, Eq. (1) corresponds to an approximation to the CTRW-Lévy walk model in which the particle undergoes continuous motion between scattering events which change the velocity coordinate. Friction and force remain events which enter through an effective time scale τ^* , i.e., they correspond to point-like interactions in the long time limit $t \gg \max\{\tau, \tau^*\}$. This gives rise to the fact that the spatial distribution does not reach an equilibrium state, or, in other words, that space and time do not decouple in the underlying equations (1) and (9) so that the variables x and t cannot be separated, also a typical property of CTRW-Lévy walks.

We have introduced a new fractional approach to the phase space description of superdiffusive sub-ballistic transport processes. The obtained fractional KKE leads to the Mittag-Leffler relaxation of the velocity distribution towards the classical Maxwell-Boltzmann equilibrium. In contrast, the long-time or high-friction limit of the spatial distribution does not possess a stationary solution. The process is characterised by a combination of the classical time evolution found for the first moment, such as the exponential relaxation of the initial condition in the presence of a linear force field, with a time-dependence which is governed by the fractional order α , i.e., the “memory strength”. The fractional KKE fulfils a generalised Einstein relation in velocity space. In coordinate space, no analogous generalisation of the Einstein relation exists. These properties set the present approach apart from previous fractional models and we therefore regard it a distinguished candidate for the description of enhanced, sub-ballistic transport in external force fields, and as complementary to the established subdiffusive fractional KKE-description.

We call the associated stochastic process a differential Lévy walk in reference to the fact that Eq. (1) correctly describes lower order moments of a CTRW-Lévy walk in an external force field and in phase space. A similar investigation of such situations within the original CTRW scheme would be very tedious. We expect that this study will contribute to a better understanding of superdiffusive transport and its dynamical foundation.

We thank Yossi Klafter for helpful discussions. RM acknowledges financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the Emmy Noether programme. IMS acknowledges financial assistance from the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

- Rayleigh, *Phil. Mag.* **32**, 424 (1891); A. D. Fokker, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **43**, 810 (1914); M. Planck, *Sitzber. Preuß. Akad. Wiss.*, p. 324 (1917); M. v. Smoluchowski, *Ann. Phys.* **48**, 1103 (1915)
- [2] N. G. van Kampen *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981); H. Risken *The Fokker-Planck equation* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989); P. Lévy *Processus stochastiques et mouvement Brownien* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1965)
- [3] J.-P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, *Phys. Rep.* **195**, 12 (1990)
- [4] G. Pfister and H. Scher, *Adv. Phys.* **27**, 747, (1978); Q. Gu et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3196 (1996)
- [5] O. Cardoso and P. Tabeling, *Europhys. Lett.* **7**, 225 (1988)
- [6] T. H. Solomon et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3975 (1993)
- [7] A. E. Hansen et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1845 (1997)
- [8] M. Levandowsky et al., *Acta Protozool.* **36**, 237 (1997); G. M. Viswanathan et al., *Nature* **381**, 413 (1996); *ibid.* **401**, 911 (1999)
- [9] A. Caspi et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5655 (2000)
- [10] A. Ott et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2201 (1990);
- [11] W. T. Juan and L. I., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3073 (1998)
- [12] F. Amblard et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 4470 (1996); R. Kimmich et al., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **307**, 147 (1999)
- [13] S. Stapf et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 2855 (1995); A. Klemm et al. (unpublished)
- [14] J. Klafter et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 3081 (1987)
- [15] M. F. Shlesinger et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1100 (1987); I. M. Sokolov et al., *Europhys. Lett.* **47**, 152 (1999)
- [16] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1 (2000)
- [17] E. Barkai, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 046118 (2001)
- [18] D. Kusnezov et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1136 (1999); *Phys. Lett. A* **234** 103 (1997)
- [19] E. Lutz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2208 (2001); *Europhys. Lett.* **54**, 293 (2001); cond-mat/0103128
- [20] R. Metzler et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3563 (1999)
- [21] R. Metzler et al., *Europhys. Lett.* **46**, 431 (1999)
- [22] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **104**, 3851 (2000); *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 6308 (2000) R. Metzler, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 6233 (2000)
- [23] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier *The fractional calculus* (Academic Press, New York, 1974)
- [24] E. Barkai and R. Silbey, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **104**, 3866 (2000)
- [25] W. G. Glöckle and T. F. Nonnenmacher, *J. Stat. Phys.* **71**, 755 (1993)
- [26] *Tables of Integral Transforms*, edited by A. Erdélyi, Bateman Manuscript Project Vol. I (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954)
- [27] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *Europhys. Lett.* **51**, 492 (2000)
- [28] R. Metzler et al., *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 1621 (1998)
- [29] I. M. Sokolov, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 056111 (2001); R. Metzler, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B*, in press

[1] O. Klein, *Arkiv Mat. Astr. Fys.* **16** No. 5 (1922); H. A. Kramers, *Physica* **7**, 284 (1940); J. W. Strutt, Lord